

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**GREGORY JACK ALMOND and TERESA  
ROBERTS ALMOND**

*Plaintiffs*

v.

**RANDOLPH COUNTY, ALABAMA; THE  
RANDOLPH COUNTY COMMISSION;  
SHERIFF DAVID COFIELD; LARRY  
CLARK, JR.; BERNARD SHEPPARD;  
RANDY MOORE; DONNIE STRAIN;  
KEVIN WALKER; GREG JOHNSON;  
JIMMY JOHNSON; JEAN DOT; JODY  
McDANIEL; NATHANIEL MORROW; and  
WILLIAM LANE**

**Case No. 3:19cv00175-  
SRW**

**Jury Demand**

*Defendants*

**AMENDED AND RESTATED COMPLAINT**

COME NOW the plaintiffs, Gregory Jack Almond and Teresa Roberts Almond and file this Amended and Restated Complaint, to add Chief Deputy William Lane as an additional party defendant and to include additional facts relating to said Defendant, as follows:

## **THE PARTIES**

- 1) Plaintiff Gregory Jack Almond (hereinafter referred to as “Greg”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama.
- 2) Plaintiff Teresa Roberts Almond (hereinafter referred to as “Teresa”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama.
- 3) Defendant Randolph County, Alabama (hereinafter referred to as “the County”) is a governmental entity created pursuant to the laws of the State of Alabama. Its actions as a governmental entity are “state actions” within the meaning of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 4) Defendant Randolph County Commission (hereinafter referred to as “the Commission”) is the governing body of Randolph County pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of Alabama. Its actions as a governmental entity are “state actions” within the meaning of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 5) Defendant Sheriff David Cofield (hereinafter referred to as “Sheriff Cofield”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Sheriff Cofield was the Sheriff of Randolph County and the head of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department. The Randolph County Sheriff’s Department is an integral part of the State of Alabama, and the actions of its Sheriff

are “state actions” within the meaning of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. The actions of Sheriff Cofield alleged herein were taken in response to instructions from and under the direction of the Randolph County Commission and are attributable to the Randolph County Commission based on general principles of agency.

6) Defendant Larry Clark, Jr., (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy Clark”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy Clark was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department and was the officer in charge of the Randolph County Narcotics Unit.

7) Defendant Bernard Sheppard (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy Sheppard”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy Sheppard was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

8) Defendant Randy Moore, (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy Moore”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy Moore was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

9) Defendant Donnie Strain, (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy Strain”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy Strain was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

10) Defendant Kevin Walker (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy Walker”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy Walker was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

11) Defendant Greg Johnson, (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy G. Johnson”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy G. Johnson was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

12) Defendant Jimmy Johnson, (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy J. Johnson”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy J. Johnson was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

13) Defendant Jean Dot, (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy Dot”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against her in her individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy Dot was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

14) Defendant Jody McDaniel (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy McDaniel”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy McDaniel was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

15) Defendant Nathaniel Morrow, (hereinafter referred to as “Deputy Morrow”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Deputy Morrow was a Deputy Sheriff of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

16) Chief Deputy William Lane (hereinafter referred to as “Chief Deputy Lane”) is an adult resident of Randolph County, Alabama. Suit is brought against him in his individual capacity. At all times pertinent to the allegations of this Complaint, Defendant Chief Deputy Lane was the Chief Deputy and second-in-charge of the Randolph County Sheriff’s Department.

17) Deputy Clark, Deputy Sheppard, Deputy Moore, Deputy Strain, Deputy Walker, Deputy G. Johnson, Deputy J. Johnson, Deputy Dot, Deputy McDaniel, Deputy Morrow, and Chief Deputy Lane, all named above, are sometimes hereinafter referred to collectively as “the Randolph County Deputies” or “members of the narcotics unit.”

### **JURISDICTION**

18) This is an action for legal and equitable relief to redress violations of Plaintiffs’ constitutional rights. This suit is brought to secure the protection of and to redress the deprivation of rights secured under the Fourth, Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. §1983. The jurisdiction of the Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

19) Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1341(3) and (4) and the aforementioned constitutional and statutory provisions. Plaintiff further invokes the pendant jurisdiction of this Court to herein decide claims arising out of State Law pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

20) Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391 as the events in question occurred in Randolph County, Alabama.

## **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

21) On or about the 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2018, Teresa was at the Almond's residence located at 1675 County Road 71, Woodland, Alabama, which is in the rural part of Randolph County, Alabama. At approximately 2:00 p.m., a Deputy of the Randolph County Sheriff's Department arrived at the Almond's home and stated that his purpose there was to serve paperwork related to a civil matter.

22) Teresa informed the Deputy that Greg was not at home but should return in about two hours. Teresa invited the Deputy to return at that time.

23) According to the Deputy's report, he smelled marijuana inside the residence. Based on the deputy's alleged smell, a search warrant was obtained, and the Sheriff's "Narcotics Unit" was convened in less than two (2) hours.

24) Later the same afternoon, Greg returned home. Soon thereafter, members of the narcotics unit, ascended upon the residence and kicked in the door of the Almond's residence.

25) After kicking in the door, one of the members of the narcotics unit threw a "shock" explosive device inside the residence. Greg Almond, who was in route to open the door of the residence, was injured when the explosive device blew up at his feet.

26) Both Greg and Teresa were then forcibly thrown to the floor. The house was ransacked. Inside the house, the narcotics unit claimed to have found one

“roach”/partially smoked marijuana cigarette and one small plant less than one foot tall which members of the narcotics unit believed to be marijuana. Outside in a grill, members of the narcotics unit discovered a small baggy with a small amount of leafy substance, which they believed to be marijuana. The total amount of marijuana found would have had a street value of \$50.00 or less. Possession of said marijuana is a misdemeanor. However, none of the marijuana seized in the raid belonged to either Greg or Teresa.

- 27) No contraband was found on the person of either Greg or Teresa.
- 28) Inside the Almond residence were two safes that housed an extensive collection of over 80 guns, some of which are antiques; approximately \$8,000.00 cash; jewelry; and other personal items, including prescription medications. The Almonds were directed to open the safes. Inside the safes, the members of the narcotics unit claim to have found ONE LUNESTA PILL outside of the bottle in which it had been prescribed. *Lunesta* is a non-narcotic class IV controlled substance prescribed to aid sleep.
- 29) Greg and Teresa were both arrested and taken to jail. They were detained until the following day at which time they bonded out.
- 30) The initial arrest report posted online had Greg and Teresa falsely and slanderously charged with manufacturing a controlled substance. These charges

were later amended to possession of marijuana, which is a misdemeanor, and possession of a controlled substance (the Lunesta pill), which is a felony.

31) On or about February 6, 2018, at least a portion of the cash that had been seized from Greg and Teresa's residence was deposited into a checking account at Small Town Bank in an account held by the Randolph County Commission d/b/a Randolph County Narcotics Unit.

32) Greg and Teresa have a 27-year-old son who went to the Randolph County Sheriff's Office and confessed that the marijuana belonged to him and did not belong to his parents. Despite that fact, the Randolph County Sheriff's Department continued to pursue prosecution, and the cases were bound over to the August 2018 term of the Grand Jury. No indictment was returned during that Grand Jury session.

33) Plaintiffs and their son, Tritt Almond, made contact with Chief Deputy Lane and Deputy Clark to report that Tritt Almond was the owner of the marijuana allegedly found at Greg and Teresa's residence. However, Chief Deputy Lane and Deputy Clark refused to take statements to that effect.

34) During the spring 2019 term of Grand Jury in Randolph County, Alabama, the Grand Jury returned indictments against Greg and Teresa, charging each of them with (a) possession of marijuana in the second degree and (b) possession of drug paraphernalia, both of which charges are misdemeanors.

35) Neither Greg nor Teresa, who have been married for nearly 30 years and are parents and grandparents, have any criminal history or have ever been arrested for anything in their lives prior to this incident.

36) Greg and Teresa have made numerous complaints to the Randolph County Sheriff's Department, but no action was taken.

37) Greg and Teresa allege that after making complaints to the Sheriff's Department, they and their family have been harassed by members of the department.

38) The Almonds are informed and believe and upon such information and belief charge the facts to be that it is the pattern and practice of the Randolph County Narcotics Unit and Sheriff's Department to illegally seize property of defendants on misdemeanor offenses and never file civil forfeiture proceedings.

39) Greg and Teresa allege that items of personal property seized from their home were lost, stolen, or otherwise disposed of by members of the Randolph County Sheriff's Department, without Plaintiffs' knowledge or permission.

40) Chief Deputy Lane as well as other members of the Randolph County Narcotics Unit had access to the personal property that was illegally seized during the "raid" on Greg and Teresa's residence.

41) Both Greg and Teresa were deprived of their constitutional rights to due process, to be secure in their person from unreasonable seizure, and to be protected from arrest without probable cause.

42) Because of humiliation and indignities suffered by Greg and Teresa, both are hesitant to go into public places in and around the County of their residence. They have both suffered great embarrassment and humiliation and continue to suffer such embarrassment and humiliation because of the activities of the defendants as described in this Complaint.

43) Both Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer, physical and mental pain and emotional distress from said injuries and public indignities caused by the Defendants; and they will continue in the future to suffer mental pain and emotional distress from said injuries caused by the Defendants.

44) As a proximate result of the Defendants' conduct, the Plaintiffs' physical injuries and mental and emotional pain and suffering caused by the Defendants have adversely affected the Plaintiffs' home and family life, have impaired and hindered the Plaintiffs in the performance of their accustomed daily activities, and have greatly restricted the Plaintiffs' ability to engage in activities to which they were otherwise accustomed, such as visiting public places.

**COUNT ONE**

**VIOLATION CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH  
AMENDMENT AND EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES  
CONSTITUTION FOR EXCESSIVE FINES.**

- 45) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.
- 46) Randolph County, and the Randolph County Commission are entities created pursuant to the laws of the State of Alabama. Actions taken of seizing property by Sheriff Cofield and the members of the Narcotics Unit, acting in their official capacity, are actions within the meaning of the Fourteenth and Eighth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Plaintiffs have suffered the damages as herein above alleged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

**COUNT TWO**

**VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS BY  
DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS PROVIDED BY  
THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSES OF FIFTH and FOURTEENTH  
AMENDMENTS TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION**

- 47) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

48) In order for property to be taken under the Alabama civil forfeiture statute, CODE OF ALABAMA § 20-2-93, the county must file a civil action to condemn the property . Failure to do so is lack of due process and is unconstitutional in violation and the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Plaintiffs have suffered the damages as hereinabove alleged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

### **COUNT THREE**

#### **VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS BY DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS PROVIDED BY THE FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. 1983**

49) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

50) Randolph County and the Randolph County Commission are entities created pursuant to the laws of the State of Alabama. Actions taken by Sheriff Cofield and the Randolph County Deputies acting in their official capacity, are state actions within the meaning of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Sheriff Cofield and the members of the Narcotics Unit are sued individually, and were acting under color of state law. The actions of defendants,

constitute a violation of Plaintiffs' civil rights. Plaintiffs have suffered the damages as hereinabove alleged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

**COUNT FOUR**

**CONVERSION**

51) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

52) A portion of the currency that was taken from the home of Greg Almond and Teresa Almond was deposited into a checking account maintained at Small Town Bank by the Randolph County Commission d/b/a Randolph County Narcotics Unit. A part of the money seized from Greg and Teresa Almond's residence remains unaccounted for. If this money was seized as evidence, it should have been in the evidence room for inspection and should not have been deposited into a checking account of the Randolph County Commission.

53) The actions of the Defendants as alleged herein constitute conversion.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

**COUNT FIVE**

**VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS BY  
USE OF UNNECESSARY AND EXCESSIVE FORCE**

54) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

55) The Defendants' actions constitute a violation of Plaintiffs' civil rights in that the said actions constitute the use of excessive force in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution. It would have been clear to a reasonable law enforcement officer under the same or similar circumstances that use of force to the extent that it was used in this instance was inappropriate. As a direct and proximate result of the violations of Plaintiffs' civil rights by the defendants, the Plaintiffs have suffered the damages as herein above alleged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

**COUNT SIX**

**ILLEGAL SEARCH AND SEIZURE IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH  
AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION**

56) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

57) Actions taken by Sheriff Cofield and the members of the Narcotics Unit, acting in their official capacity, are state actions within the meaning of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. The actions constitute a violation of Plaintiffs' civil rights in that the said actions constitute the use of excessive force in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Plaintiffs have suffered the damages as herein above alleged.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

**COUNT SEVEN**

**NEGLIGENT HIRING, TRAINING, AND SUPERVISION**

58) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

59) That these actions constitute negligent hiring, training, and supervision by the Defendants Randolph County Commission, Sheriff Cofield, Chief Deputy Lane, and Deputy Clark.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

## **COUNT EIGHT**

### **MALICIOUS PROSECUTION**

60) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

61) That these actions constitute malicious prosecution.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

## **COUNT NINE**

### **SLANDER**

62) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

63) That these actions constitute slander.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

## **COUNT TEN**

### **OUTRAGE**

64) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

65) That these actions constitute the tort of outrage.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

**COUNT ELEVEN**

**DECLARATORY JUDGMENT THAT THE ALABAMA CIVIL  
FORFEITURE STATUTE, CODE OF ALABAMA § 20-2-93, AS WRITTEN  
AND APPLIED, IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL**

66) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs ask this court to send the issue to the Supreme Court of Alabama as a certified question establishing parameters as to when property may be seized by law enforcement, specifically that civil forfeiture does not apply to misdemeanor drug offenses or drug offenses for personal use.

**COUNT TWELVE**

**EMOTIONAL PAIN AND SUFFERING**

67) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

68) That Greg Almond and Teresa Almond have suffered emotional pain and suffering as a result of these actions.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award,

plus attorneys' fees and costs.

**COUNT THIRTEEN**

**NEGLIGENCE**

69) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

70) That Defendants were negligent in their conduct, resulting in damages to the Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

**COUNT FOURTEEN**

**WANTONNESS**

71) Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all prior paragraphs of this complaint as if set out here in full.

72) That Defendants were wanton in their conduct, resulting in damages to the Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment in such an amount of compensatory and punitive damages as a jury deems reasonable, and may award, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

## **JURY DEMAND**

The plaintiffs demand trial by jury on all issues triable by jury as of right.

Respectfully submitted this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of April, 2019.

*John Michael Segrest*

John Michael Segrest (ASB-8306-H58S)  
The Segrest Law Firm  
P.O. Box 780791  
Tallassee, AL 36078  
Telephone: 334-252-0036  
Fax: 334-252-0037  
Email: [Mike.Segrest@SegrestLaw.com](mailto:Mike.Segrest@SegrestLaw.com)

### Of Counsel

Heather Newsom Leonard ASB-1152-O61H  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Heather Leonard, PC  
2105 Devereaux Circle, Suite 111  
Birmingham, AL 35243  
205-977-5421  
[Heather@HeatherLeonardPC.com](mailto:Heather@HeatherLeonardPC.com)

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of April, I served a copy of the above and foregoing Amended and Restated Complaint to counsel of record by utilization of the Court's electronic filing system and to the Defendants stated below by placing a copy of same in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows:

Heather Newsom Leonard, Esq.  
Heather Leonard, PC  
2105 Devereaux Circle, Suite 111  
Birmingham, AL 35243  
[Heather@HeatherLeonardPC.com](mailto:Heather@HeatherLeonardPC.com)

Randolph County, Alabama  
c/o Randolph County Commission  
12 Broad Street East  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Randolph County Commission  
Randolph County Commission Office  
12 Broad Street East  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Sheriff David Cofield  
Randolph County Sheriff's Office  
1 North Main Street  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Larry Clark, Jr.  
Randolph County Sheriff's Office  
1 North Main Street  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Bernard Sheppard  
Randolph County Sheriff's Office  
1 North Main Street  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Randy Moore  
Roanoke Police Department  
30 West Point Street  
P.O Box 1270  
Roanoke, AL 36274

Donnie Strain  
Randolph County Sheriff's Office  
1 North Main Street  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Kevin Walker  
Wedowee Police Department  
13 W Broad Street  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Greg Johnson  
Randolph County Sheriff's Office  
1 North Main Street  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Jimmy Johnson  
Randolph County Sheriff's Office  
1 North Main Street  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Jean Dot Alexander  
Randolph County Sheriff's Office  
1 North Main Street  
Wedowee, AL 36278

Jody McDaniel  
Roanoke Police Department  
30 West Point Street  
P.O Box 1270  
Roanoke, AL 36274

Nathaniel Morrow  
379 County Road 432  
Newell, AL 36280-4014

/s/ John Michael Segrest